Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Central limit theorems for supercritical superprocesses with immigration

Li Wang

arXiv:1612.03389v1 [math.PR] 11 Dec 2016

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract In this paper, we establish a central limit theorem for a large class of general supercritical superprocesses with immigration with spatially dependent branching mechanisms satisfying a second moment condition. This central limit theorem extends and generalizes the results obtained by Ren, Song and Zhang [21]. We first give law of large numbers for supercritical superprocesses with immigration since there is few convergence result on immigration superprocesses, then based on these results, we establish the central limit theorem.

Keywords Central limit theorem · Supercritical superprocess with immigration · Excursion measure

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) Primary 60J68 · Secondary 60F05

1 Introduction

In recent years, there have been many papers on law of large numbers type convergence theorems and on central limit theorem types convergence results for branching Markov processes and superprocess, see for instance, [1,2,3,4,9,10,11,17,18,19,20,21,22] and the references therein. Especially in [21], Ren, Song and Zhang proved one central limit theorem which generalizes and unifies all the central limit theorems of [18,19] and the advantage of this central limit theorem is that it allows us to characterize the limiting Gaussian field and reveals more independent structures of the limiting Gaussian field.

The focus of this paper is, to take the next step, on central limit theorems for superprocesses with immigration. First, we will give law of large numbers on supercritical superprocesses with immigration since there is few convergence result on immigration superprocesses, then based on the these results, we will give the central limit theorems. The main tool of this paper is the excursion measure of superprocess and immigration superprocess. As a continuation of [21], the underlying spatial process in this paper is the same as in [21], we will state it in the next subsection for reader's convenience.

1.1 Spatial process

E is a locally compact separable metric space and m is a σ-finite Borel measure on E with full support. ∂ is a point not contained in E and will be interpreted as the cemetery point. Every function f on E is automatically extended to $E_\partial := E \cup \{\partial\}$ by setting $f(\partial) = 0$. We will assume that $\xi = \{\xi_t, \Pi_x\}$ is an

Supported by NSFC 11301020 grants.

Li Wang
School of Sciences, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, P.R. China.
E-mail: wangli@mail.buct.edu.cn

m-symmetric Hunt process on E and $\zeta := \inf\{t > 0 : \xi_t = \partial\}$ is the lifetime of ξ. The semigroup of ξ will be denoted by $\{P_t : t \geqslant 0\}$. We will always assume that there exists a family of continuous strictly positive symmetric functions $\{p_t(x, y), t > 0\}$ on $E \times E$ such that

$$P_t f(x) = \int_E p_t(x, y) f(y) m(dy).$$

It is well known that, for $p \geqslant 1$, $\{P_t : t \geqslant 0\}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^p(E, m)$. Define $\widetilde{a}_t(x) := p_t(x, x)$. We will always assume that $\widetilde{a}_t(x)$ satisfies the following two conditions:

(a) For any $t > 0$, we have

$$\int_E \widetilde{a}_t(x) m(dx) < \infty.$$

(b) There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $t \geqslant t_0$, $\widetilde{a}_t(x) \in L^2(E, m)$.

These two conditions are satisfied by a lot of Markov processes, see the examples in [20].

1.2 Superprocess with immigration

Let $\mathcal{B}_b(E)$ ($\mathcal{B}_b^+(E)$) be the set of (positive) bounded Borel measurable functions on E. Let $X = \{X_t : t \geqslant 0\}$ be a superprocess determined by the following parameters: a spatial motion $\xi = \{\xi_t, \Pi_x\}$ satisfying the assumptions of the previous subsection, a branching rate function $\beta(x)$ on E which is a non-negative bounded measurable function and a branching mechanism ψ of the form

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = -a(x)\lambda + b(x)\lambda^2 + \int_{(0,+\infty)} (e^{-\lambda y} - 1 + \lambda y) n(x, dy), \ x \in E, \ \lambda > 0,$$

where $a \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$, $b \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E)$ and n is a kernel from E to $(0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{x \in E} \int_0^\infty y^2 n(x, dy) < \infty.$$

Let $M(E)$ be the space of finite measures on E equipped with the topology of weak convergence. The existence of such superprocess is well known, see, for instance, [5] or [16]. X is a cádlág Markov process taking values in $M(E)$. For any $\mu \in M(E)$, we denote the law of X with initial configuration μ by $\mathbb{P}_\mu$. Then X has transition semigroup $(Q_t)_{t \geqslant 0}$ defined by

$$\int_{M(E)} e^{-\nu(f)} Q_t(\mu, d\nu) = \exp\{-\mu(V_t(f))\},$$

where $V_t(f)$ is the unique positive solution to the equation

$$V_t(f)(x) + \Pi_x \int_0^t \psi(\xi_s, V_{t-s} f(\xi_s)) \beta(\xi_s) ds = \Pi_x f(\xi_t), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\psi(\partial, \lambda) = 0, \lambda > 0$. Let $M(E)^\circ := M(E) \backslash \{0\}$, where 0 is the null measure. Define

$$\varphi(f) = \eta(f) + \int_{M(E)^\circ} (1 - e^{-\nu(f)}) H(d\nu), \ f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\eta \in M(E)$ and $\nu(1)H(d\nu)$ is a finite measure on $M(E)^\circ$.

Suppose that $\{(Y_t, \mathscr{G}_t) : t \geqslant 0\}$ is a Markov process in $M(E)$ with transition semigroup $(Q_t^N)_{t \geqslant 0}$ given by

$$\int_{M(E)} e^{-\nu(f)} Q_t^N(\mu, d\nu) = \exp\left\{-\mu(V_t(f)) - \int_0^t \varphi(V_s(f)) ds\right\}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $V_t(f)$ is the unique positive solution to the equation (1.1). We call $\{(Y_t, \mathscr{G}_t) : t \geqslant 0\}$ a superprocess with immigration associated with $(Q_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ or $\{X_t : t \geqslant 0\}$. For the exsitence and properties of such processes, we refer the reader to [12,13,14]. For any $\mu \in M(E)$, we still use $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ to denote the law of Y with initial configuration μ when there is no confusion.

Define the random set

$$\mathcal{Z} := \overline{\{t \geqslant 0 : \|Y_t\| = 0\}}.$$

In this paper, we assume that the process X, or equivalently Y, is supercritical, then clearly $\mathbb{P}_\mu\{\exists\ t > 0 : \|Y_t\| = 0\} = 0$ or $\mathcal{Z}$ is bounded $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-a.s. for $\mu \in M(E)$.

Let

$$\alpha(x) := \beta(x)a(x) \quad \text{and} \quad A(x) := \beta(x)\left(2b(x) + \int_0^\infty y^2 n(x, dy)\right).$$

Then, by our assumptions, $\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$, $A(x) \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E)$. Thus there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in E}(|\alpha(x)| + A(x)) \leqslant M.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ and $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times E$, define

$$T_t f(x) = \Pi_x\left[e^{\int_0^t \alpha(\xi_s)ds} f(\xi_t)\right]. \tag{1.4}$$

It is well known that $T_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\delta_x}[\langle f, X_t\rangle]$ for every $x \in E$. Then (1.1) can be written into

$$V_t(f)(x) + \int_0^t \int_E \psi_0(y, V_{t-s}f(y))\beta(y)T_s(x, dy)ds = T_t f(x), \tag{1.5}$$

where $\psi_0(x, \lambda) = \psi(x, \lambda) + a(x)\lambda$, see Theorem 2.23 in [16].

It is shown in [20] that there exists a family of continuous strictly positive symmetric functions $\{q_t(x, y), t > 0\}$ on $E \times E$ such that $q_t(x, y) \leqslant e^{Mt}p_t(x, y)$ and for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$,

$$T_t f(x) = \int_E q_t(x, y)f(y)m(dy).$$

It follows immediately that, for any $p \geqslant 1$, $\{T_t : t \geqslant 0\}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup on $L^p(E, m)$ and

$$\|T_t f\|_p^p \leqslant e^{Mpt}\|f\|_p^p.$$

Define $a_t(x) := q_t(x, x)$. It follows from the assumptions (a) and (b) in the previous subsection that a_t enjoys the following properties:

(i) For any $t > 0$, we have

$$\int_E a_t(x)m(dx) < \infty.$$

(ii) There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $t \geqslant t_0$, $a_t(x) \in L^2(E, m)$.

It follows from (i) above that, for any $t > 0$, T_t is a compact operator. The infinitesimal generator of $\{T_t : t \geqslant 0\}$ in $L^2(E, m)$ has purely discrete spectrum with eigenvalues $-\lambda_1 > -\lambda_2 > -\lambda_3 > \cdots$. The first eigenvalue $-\lambda_1$ is simple and the eigenfunction ϕ_1 associated with $-\lambda_1$ can be chosen to be strictly positive everywhere and continuous. We will assume that $\|\phi_1\|_2 = 1$. ϕ_1 is sometimes denoted as $\phi_1^{(1)}$. For $k > 1$, let $\{\phi_j^{(k)}, j = 1, 2, \cdots n_k\}$ be an orthogonal basis of the eigenspace (which is finite dimensional) associated with $-\lambda_k$. It is well-known that $\{\phi_j^{(k)}, j = 1, 2, \cdots n_k; k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ forms a complete orthogonal basis of $L^2(E, m)$ and all the eigenfunctions are continuous. For any $k \geqslant 1$, $j = 1, \ldots, n_k$ and $t > 0$, we have $T_t\phi_j^{(k)}(x) = e^{-\lambda_k t}\phi_j^{(k)}(x)$ and

$$e^{-\lambda_k t/2}|\phi_j^{(k)}(x)| \leqslant a_t(x)^{1/2}, \quad x \in E.$$

It follows from the relation above that all the eigenfunctions $\phi_j^{(k)}$ belong to $L^4(E, m)$. For any $x, y \in E$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$q_t(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \phi_j^{(k)}(x)\phi_j^{(k)}(y),$$

where the series is locally uniformly convergent on $E \times E$. The basic facts recalled in this paragraph are well known, for instance, one can refer to ([8], Section 2). In this paper, since we assume that the superprocess X is supercritical, $\lambda_1 < 0$.

2 Preliminaries

We will use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_m$ to denote the inner product in $L^2(E, m)$. Any $f \in L^2(E, m)$ admits the following expansion:

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j^k \phi_j^{(k)}(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where $a_j^k = \langle f, \phi_j^{(k)} \rangle_m$ and the series converges in $L^2(E, m)$. a_1^1 will sometimes be written as a_1. For $f \in L^2(E, m)$, define

$$\gamma(f) := \inf\{k \geqslant 1 : \text{there exists } j \text{ with } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n_k \text{ such that } a_j^k \neq 0\},$$

where we use the usual convention $\inf \varnothing = \infty$. Define

$$f_1(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\gamma(f)}} a_j^{\gamma(f)} \phi_j^{(\gamma(f))}(x).$$

We note that if $f \in L^2(E, m)$ is nonnegative and $m(x : f(x) > 0) > 0$, then $\langle f, \phi_1 \rangle_m > 0$ which implies $\gamma(f) = 1$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}_l := \left\{ g(x) = \sum_{2\lambda_k < \lambda_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j^k \phi_j^{(k)}(x) : a_j^k \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } g \neq 0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_c := \left\{ g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j^k \phi_j^{(k)}(x) : 2\lambda_k = \lambda_1, a_j^k \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } g \neq 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_s := \left\{ g(x) \in L^2(E, m) \cap L^4(E, m) : g \neq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_1 < 2\lambda_{\gamma(g)} \right\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}_l$ consists of these functions in $L^2(E,m)\cap L^4(E,m)$ that only have nontrivial projection onto the eigen-spaces corresponding to those "large" eigenvalues $-\lambda_k$ satisfying $\lambda_1 > 2\lambda_k$. The space $\mathcal{C}_l$ is of finite dimension. The space $\mathcal{C}_c$ is the (finite dimensional) eigenspace corresponding to the "critical" eigenvalue $-\lambda_k$ with $\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_k$. Note that there may not be a critical eigenvalue and in this case, $\mathcal{C}_c$ is empty. The space $\mathcal{C}_s$ consists of these functions in $L^2(E,m)\cap L^4(E,m)$ that only have nontrivial projections onto the eigen-spaces corresponding to those "small" eigenvalues $-\lambda_k$ satisfying $\lambda_1 < 2\lambda_k$. The space $\mathcal{C}_s$ is of infinite dimensional in general.

We use $\langle f,\nu\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\nu(dx)$. And whenever we deal with an initial configuration $\mu\in M(E)$, we are implicitly assuming that it has compact support.

2.1 Excursion measures of $\{Y_t, t\geqslant 0\}$

Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the space of all paths $\{w_t : t\geqslant 0\}$ from $[0,\infty)$ to $M(E)$ that are right continuous in $M(E)$ having zero as a trap. Let $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}_t)$ denote the natural σ-algebras on $\mathbb{D}$ generated by the coordinate process. It is known from [16, Chapter 8] that one can associate with $\{\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x} : x\in E\}$ a family of σ-finite measures $\{\mathbb{N}_x : x\in E\}$, defined on $(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{A})$ such that $\mathbb{N}_x(\{0\}) = 0$,

$$\mathbb{N}_x(1-e^{-\langle f,w_t\rangle}) = -\log\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}(e^{-\langle f,X_t\rangle}),\ f\in\mathcal{B}_b^+(E),\ t>0, \tag{2.2}$$

and for every $0<t_1<\cdots<t_n<\infty$, and nonzero $\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_n\in M(E)$,

$$\mathbb{N}_x(w_{t_1}\in d\mu_1,\cdots,w_{t_n}\in d\mu_n) = \mathbb{N}_x(w_{t_1}\in d\mu_1)\mathbb{P}_{\mu_1}(X_{t_2-t_1}\in d\mu_2)\cdots\mathbb{P}_{\mu_{n-1}}(X_{t_n-t_{n-1}}\in d\mu_n).$$

For earlier work on excursion measures of superprocesses, see [6,7,15]. Next we list some properties of $\mathbb{N}_x$ which will be used later.

Proposition 2.1 *If $\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}|\langle f,X_t\rangle|<\infty$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}\langle f,w_t\rangle\mathbb{N}_x(dw) = \mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}\langle f,X_t\rangle.$$

If $\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}\langle f,X_t\rangle^2<\infty$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}\langle f,w_t\rangle^2\mathbb{N}_x(dw) = \mathbb{V}ar_{\delta_x}\langle f,X_t\rangle.$$

Assume that Condition 8.5 in Li [16] holds: there is a spatially constant local branching mechanism $z\mapsto\psi_*(z)$ so that $\psi_*'(z)\to\infty$ as $z\to\infty$ and ψ is bounded below by $\psi_*(z)$ in the sense

$$\psi(x,f)\geqslant\psi_*(f(x)),\ x\in E,\ f\in\mathcal{B}_b^+(E),$$

then it is sufficient for the cumulant semigroup $(V_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ to admit the following representation for all $x\in E$:

$$V_tf(x) = \lambda_t(x,f) + \int_{M(E)^\circ}(1-e^{-\nu(f)})L_t(x,d\nu),$$

where $\lambda_t(x,dy)$ is a bounded kernel on E and $(1\wedge\nu(1))L_t(x,d\nu)$ is a bounded kernel from E to $M(E)^\circ$. Let

$$\gamma_t = \int_0^t\int_E\eta(dx)\lambda_s(x,\cdot)ds, H_t = \int_E\eta(dx)L_t(x,\cdot) + HQ_t^\circ \text{ and } G_t = \int_0^t H_sds,\ t\geqslant 0,$$

where $(Q_t^\circ)_{t\geqslant 0}$ denotes the restriction of $(Q_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ to $M(E)^\circ$. By Li [16, Theorems 9.5-9.6], $(G_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is an entrance rule for $(Q_t^\circ)_{t\geqslant 0}$ and has the decomposition

$$G_t = \int_0^t G_{t-s}^s\zeta(ds),\quad t\geqslant 0,$$

where $\zeta(ds)$ is a diffuse Radon measure on $[0,\infty)$ and $\{(G_t^s)_{t>0} : s \geqslant 0\}$ is a family of entrance laws for $(Q_t^\circ)_{t\geqslant 0}$. Thus by Li [16, Theorem A.40], there corresponds a σ-finite measure $\mathbf{Q}_s(dw)$ on $(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{A})$ such that for every $0<t_1<\cdots<t_n<\infty$, and nonzero $\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_n\in M(E)$,

$$\mathbf{Q}_s(w_{t_1}\in d\mu_1,\cdots,w_{t_n}\in d\mu_n)=G_{t_1}^s(d\mu_1)Q_{t_2-t_1}^\circ(\mu_1,d\mu_2)\cdots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}}^\circ(\mu_{n-1},d\mu_n).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_t=\sigma(X_s:s\in[0,t])$. Suppose that $N(ds,dw)$ is a Poisson random measure on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{D}$ independent of $\{(X_t,\mathcal{F}_t):t\geqslant 0\}$ with intensity $\zeta(ds)\mathbf{Q}_s(dw)$, in a probability space $(\widetilde{\Omega},\widetilde{\mathcal{F}},\mathbf{P})$. Define another process $\{\Lambda_t:t\geqslant 0\}$ by

$$\Lambda_t:=\gamma_t+\int_{(0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{D}} w_{t-s}N(ds,dw),\quad t\geqslant 0.$$

The Markov property of $(\Lambda_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ follows from a similar proof as that of Li [16, Theorem 9.29]. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}[\exp\{-\Lambda_t(f)\}]&=\exp\left\{-\gamma_t(f)-\int_0^t\zeta(ds)\int_{M(E)^\circ}(1-e^{-\langle f,\mu\rangle})G_{t-s}^s(d\mu)\right\}\\&=\exp\left\{-\int_0^t\eta(V_sf)ds-\int_0^t\int_{M(E)^\circ}\mathbb{P}_\mu[1-\exp\{-\langle f,X_{t-s}\rangle\}]H(d\mu)ds\right\}\\&=\exp\left\{-\int_0^t\eta(V_sf)ds-\int_0^t\int_{M(E)^\circ}(1-\exp\{-\langle\mu,V_sf\rangle\})H(d\mu)ds\right\}\\&=\exp\left\{-\int_0^t\varphi(V_sf)ds\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ be the σ-algebra generated by random variables $\{N^H(A):A\in\mathcal{B}([0,t])\times\mathcal{A}_t\}$ and

$$\mathcal{Y}_t=X_t+\Lambda_t,\quad X_0=\mu\ \text{ and }\ \mathcal{H}_t=\sigma(\mathcal{F}_t\cup\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t).$$

Then $\{(\mathcal{Y}_t,\mathcal{H}_t):t\geqslant 0\}$ is an superprocess with immigration whose transition semigroup is determined by $(Q_t^N)_{t\geqslant 0}$. That is, $\{\mathcal{Y},(\mathcal{H}_t)_{t\geqslant 0},\mathbf{P}_\mu\}$ has the same law as $\{Y,(\mathscr{G}_t)_{t\geqslant 0},\mathbb{P}_\mu\}$.

Notice that, for $f\in L^2(E,m)$, $\mathbb{N}_x(\langle|f|,\omega_t\rangle)=T_t|f|(x)<\infty$, which implies that $\mathbb{N}_x(\langle|f|,\omega_t\rangle=\infty)=0$. Thus, for $f\in L^2(E,m)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_\mu\left(e^{i\theta\langle f,Y_t\rangle}\right)&=\exp\left\{\int_E\int_{\mathbb{D}}(e^{i\theta\langle f,w_t\rangle}-1)\mathbb{N}_x(dw)\mu(dx)\right\}\\&\quad+\exp\left\{i\theta\gamma_t(f)+\int_0^t\zeta(ds)\int_{M(E)^\circ}(\exp\{i\theta\langle f,\mu\rangle\}-1)G_{t-s}^s(d\mu)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the Markov property of the process, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}_\mu[\exp\{i\theta\langle f,Y_{t+s}\rangle\}|Y_t]\\&=\exp\left\{\int_E\int_{\mathbb{D}}(e^{i\theta\langle f,w_s\rangle}-1)\mathbb{N}_x(dw)Y_t(dx)\right\}\\&\quad+\exp\left\{i\theta\gamma_s(f)+\int_t^{t+s}\zeta(du)\int_{M(E)^\circ}(\exp\{i\theta\langle f,\mu\rangle\}-1)G_{t+s-u}^u(d\mu)\right\}\\&=\exp\left\{\int_E\int_{\mathbb{D}}(e^{i\theta\langle f,w_s\rangle}-1)\mathbb{N}_x(dw)Y_t(dx)\right\}\\&\quad+\exp\left\{\int_0^s\eta(V_u(i\theta f))du+\int_0^s\int_{M(E)^\circ}\mathbb{P}_\mu(\exp\{i\theta\langle f,X_{s-u}\rangle\}-1)H(d\mu)du\right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

The intuitive meaning of (2.3) is clear, given Y_t, the population at time $t+s$ is made up of two parts; the native part generated by the mass Y_t and the immigration in the time interval $(t,t+s]$.

2.2 Estimates on the moments of $\{Y_t, t \geqslant 0\}$

For reader's convenience, we first recall some results about the semigroup (T_t), the proofs of which can be found in [20]. For two positive functions f and g on E, by $f(x) \lesssim g(x)$, we will denote the fact that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $f(x) \leqslant cg(x)$ for any $x \in E$ (whose exact value is not relevant to following calculations).

Lemma 2.1 *[20, Lemma 2.4] For any $f \in L^2(E, m)$, $x \in E$ and $t > 0$, we have*

$$T_t f(x) = \sum_{k=\gamma(f)}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j^k \phi_j^{(k)}(x) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)} t} T_t f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\gamma(f)}} a_j^{\gamma(f)} \phi_j^{(\gamma(f))}(x), \tag{2.5}$$

where the series in (2.4) converges absolutely and uniformly in any compact subset of E. Moreover, for any $t_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t>t_1} e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)} t} |T_t f(x)| \leqslant e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)} t_1} \|f\|_2 \left(\int_E a_{t_1/2}(x) m(dx) \right) (a_{t_1}(x))^{1/2}, \\ &\sup_{t>t_1} e^{(\lambda_{\gamma(f)+1} - \lambda_{\gamma(f)})t} |e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)} t} T_t f(x) - f_1(x)| \\ &\quad \leqslant e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)+1} t_1} \|f\|_2 \left(\int_E a_{t_1/2}(x) m(dx) \right) (a_{t_1}(x))^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.2 *[16, Proposition 9.14] Suppose that $\int_{M(E)^\circ} \nu(1)^2 H(d\nu) < \infty$. Then for $t \geqslant 0$, $\mu \in M(E)$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu \langle Y_t, f \rangle = \mu(T_t f) + \int_0^t \Gamma(T_s f) ds \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\mu \langle Y_t, f \rangle^2 = &\left(\mu(T_t f) + \int_0^t \Gamma(T_s f) ds \right)^2 + \int_E \int_0^t T_s [A(T_{t-s} f)^2](x) ds \mu(dx) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_E \int_0^u T_s [A(T_{u-s} f)^2](x) ds \Gamma(dx) du \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{M(E)^\circ} \nu(T_s f)^2 H(d\nu) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $t \to T_t f$ is defined by (1.4) and $\Gamma(f) = \eta(f) + \int_{M(E)^\circ} \nu(f) H(d\nu)$.

Let

$$m(t) = \int_0^t \Gamma(a_{2s}^{1/2}) ds \text{ and } n(t) = \int_0^t \int_{M(E)^\circ} \left[\nu \left(a_{2s}^{1/2} \right) \right]^2 H(d\nu) ds.$$

Assumption 2.1 We assume throughout this paper that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{t_0} \Gamma(a_s^{1/2}) ds < \infty \text{ and } \int_0^{t_0} \int_{M(E)^\circ} [\nu(a_s^{1/2})]^2 H(d\nu) ds < \infty.$$

Remark 2.1 It follows from Assumption 2.1 and the fact $t \to a_t$ is decreasing that, for any $t > 0$,

$$\Gamma(a_t^{1/2}) < \infty.$$

Furthermore, for any $t \geqslant t_0$, $m(t) < \infty$, $n(t) < \infty$. Since $e^{-\lambda_k t/2}|\phi_j^{(k)}(x)| \leqslant a_t(x)^{1/2}$, we have

$$|\Gamma(\phi_j^{(k)})| \leqslant e^{\lambda_k t/2}|\Gamma(a_t^{1/2})| < \infty$$

for any $k \geqslant 1$, $j = 1, \ldots, n_k$.

For $f \in L^2(E,m) \cap L^4(E,m)$, and $x \in E$, it follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$(T_{t-s}f)^2(x) \leqslant e^{M(t-s)}T_{t-s}(f^2)(x). \tag{2.9}$$

Thus, using a routine limit argument, one can easily check that (2.7) and (2.8) also hold for $f \in L^2(E,m) \cap L^4(E,m)$ under Assumption 2.1.

Lemma 2.3 *Under Assumption 2.1, for any $f \in L^2(E,m) \cap L^4(E,m)$,*

(1) If $\lambda_1 < 2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}$, then for any $x \in E$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}\langle f, Y_t\rangle = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}\langle f, Y_t\rangle^2 = &\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_1 s}\langle A(T_s f)^2, \phi_1\rangle_m ds\phi_1(x)\\ &+ \int_0^\infty\int_l^\infty e^{\lambda_1 l}\langle A(T_{u-l}f)^2, \phi_1\rangle_m du dl\Gamma(\phi_1).\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

(2) If $\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}$, then for any $(t,x) \in (3t_0, \infty) \times E$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} t^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 t}\mathbb{V}ar_{\delta_x}\langle f, Y_t\rangle = \left(\phi_1(x) + \frac{\Gamma(\phi_1)}{-\lambda_1}\right)\langle Af_1^2, \phi_1\rangle_m. \tag{2.12}$$

(3) If $\lambda_1 > 2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}$, then for any $x \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\mathbb{V}ar_{\delta_x}\langle f, Y_t\rangle = &\int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}T_s(Af_1^2)(x)ds\\ &+ \int_0^\infty\int_E\int_l^\infty e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}l}T_{u-l}[A(f_1)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dl\\ &+ \int_0^\infty\int_{M(E)^\circ} e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}\nu(f_1^2)(x)H(d\nu)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. (1) If $\lambda_1 < 2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}$, by the moment formula (2.7),

$$e^{\lambda_1 t/2}|\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}\langle f, Y_t\rangle| \leqslant e^{(\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})t/2}(e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}|T_t f(x)|) + e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\int_0^t |\Gamma(T_s f)|ds.$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we can get,

$$|T_s f(x)| \leqslant \int_E q_s(x,y)|f|(y)m(dy) \leqslant \|f\|_2\left(\int_E q_s(x,y)^2 m(dy)\right)^{1/2} = \|f\|_2 a_{2s}(x)^{1/2}. \tag{2.14}$$

Then for any $t > t_0 > 0$, we have by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\int_0^t \Gamma(T_s f)ds &= e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\int_0^{t_0}\Gamma(T_s f)ds + e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\int_{t_0}^t \Gamma(T_s f)ds \\ &\leqslant e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\|f\|_2\int_0^{t_0}\Gamma(a_{2s}^{1/2})ds + \int_{t_0}^t e^{\lambda_1(t-s)/2}e^{(\lambda_1-2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})s/2}\Gamma(e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}T_s f)ds \\ &\lesssim e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\|f\|_2 m(t_0) + [e^{(\lambda_1-2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})t/2} + e^{\lambda_1 t/2}]\Gamma(a_{t_0}^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Combining the estimates above, we get

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}\langle f, Y_t\rangle = 0.$$

For the second moment, we have already known from the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [20] that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t T_s[A(T_{t-s}f)^2](x)ds = \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_1 s}\langle A(T_s f)^2, \phi_1\rangle_m ds\phi_1(x)$$

and for $s > 3t_0$,

$$e^{\lambda_1 s}\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du \lesssim a_{t_0}(x)^{1/2}. \tag{2.16}$$

For any $s \leqslant 3t_0$, using (2.9) and (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx) &\leqslant \int_E\int_0^s Me^{M(s-u)}T_s(f^2)(x)du\Gamma(dx) \\ &\leqslant e^{3Mt_0}\|f^2\|_2\Gamma(a_{2s}^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t\int_E\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds \\ =& \int_{3t_0}^t e^{\lambda_1(t-s)}\int_E e^{\lambda_1 s}\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds \\ & + e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^{3t_0}\int_E\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds \\ \lesssim& \Gamma(a_{t_0}^{1/2}) + e^{\lambda_1 t}e^{3Mt_0}\|f^2\|_2 m(3t_0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Thus, by the dominated convergence theorem and let $l = t - s$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t\int_E\int_0^s T_{s-u}[A(T_u f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds \\ =& \int_0^t e^{\lambda_1(t-s)}\int_E e^{\lambda_1 s}\int_0^s T_{s-u}[A(T_u f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds \\ =& \int_0^t e^{\lambda_1 l}\int_E e^{\lambda_1(t-l)}\int_0^{t-l} T_{t-l-u}[A(T_u f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dl \\ =& \int_0^t\int_E e^{\lambda_1 l}e^{\lambda_1(t-l)}\int_l^t T_{t-u}[A(T_{u-l}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dl \\ \to& \int_0^\infty\int_l^\infty e^{\lambda_1 l}\langle A(T_{u-l}f)^2, \phi_1\rangle_m\Gamma(\phi_1)dudl, \quad \text{as } t\to\infty. \end{aligned}$$

For the last term in (2.8), for $t > t_0$, we have by (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds\\
&=\int_{t_0}^t e^{\lambda_1(t-s)}\int_{M(E)^\circ}e^{(\lambda_1-2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})s}\nu(e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds+e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^{t_0}\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds\\
&\lesssim (e^{(\lambda_1-2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})t}+e^{\lambda_1 t})\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu\left(a_{t_0}^{1/2}\right)^2H(d\nu)+e^{\lambda_1 t}\|f\|_2^2n(t_0),
\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

so we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds=0.$$

(2) If $\lambda_1=2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 t}\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}_{\delta_x}\langle f,Y_t\rangle &= t^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t T_s[A(T_{t-s}f)^2](x)ds\\
&\quad+t^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t\int_E\int_0^u T_s[A(T_{u-s}f)^2](x)ds\Gamma(dx)du\\
&\quad+t^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds\\
&:=A_1(t,x)+A_2(t)+A_3(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We have already known from the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [20] that for $t>3t_0$,

$$|A_1(t,x)-\langle Af_1^2,\phi_1\rangle_m\phi_1(x)|\lesssim t^{-1}a_{t_0}(x)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, by similar estimate to (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2(t)&=\int_0^t t^{-1}e^{\lambda_1(t-s)}\int_E e^{\lambda_1 s}\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds\\
&=\int_{3t_0}^t\frac{s}{t}e^{\lambda_1(t-s)}s^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 s}\int_E\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds\\
&\quad+t^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^{3t_0}\int_E\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds\\
&\lesssim t^{-1}\Gamma(a_{t_0}^{1/2})+\langle Af_1^2,\phi_1\rangle_m\Gamma(\phi_1)+t^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 t}\|f^2\|_2m(3t_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $l=t-s$, by the dominated convergence theorem, we have as $t\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2(t)&=\int_0^t e^{\lambda_1 l}\frac{t-l}{t}\int_E(t-l)^{-1}e^{\lambda_1(t-l)}\int_l^t T_{t-u}[A(T_{u-l}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dl\\
&\to\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_1 l}\Gamma(\phi_1)\langle Af_1^2,\phi_1\rangle_m dl
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly to (2.18), we can prove that

$$A_3(t)\to 0 \text{ as } t\to\infty.$$

(3) If $\lambda_1>2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}$, we have already known from the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [20] that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\int_0^t T_s[A(T_{t-s}f)^2](x)ds=\int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}T_s(Af_1^2)(x)ds.$$

For the second term in (2.8), by (2.37) in [20], for $t > 3t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\int_0^t\int_E\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds\\
=&\int_{3t_0}^t e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}(t-s)}\int_E e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds\\
&+\int_0^{3t_0} e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\int_E\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds\\
\lesssim&\,\Gamma(a_{t_0}^{1/2})+e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}e^{3Mt_0}\|f^2\|_2 m(3t_0).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

Consequently, we can use the dominated convergence theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\int_0^t\int_E\int_0^s T_u[A(T_{s-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)ds\\
=&\int_0^t\int_E e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}l}e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)(t-l)}}\int_l^t T_{u-l}[A(T_{t-u}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dl\\
\to&\int_0^\infty\int_E\int_l^\infty e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}l}T_{u-l}[A(f_1)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dl.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term in (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\int_0^t\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds=&\int_{t_0}^t e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}(t-s)}\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds\\
&+e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\int_0^{t_0}\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds\\
\lesssim&\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu\left(a_{t_0}^{1/2}\right)^2H(d\nu)+e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\|f\|_2^2n(t_0).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.20}$$

Then it follows by the dominated convergence theorem that as $t\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\int_0^t\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds=&\int_0^t e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}(t-s)}\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}T_sf)^2H(d\nu)ds\\
\to&\int_0^\infty\int_{M(E)^\circ}e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}\nu(f_1)^2H(d\nu)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t\to\infty}e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}t}\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}_{\delta_x}\langle f,Y_t\rangle=&\int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}T_s(Af_1^2)(x)ds\\
&+\int_0^\infty\int_E\int_l^\infty e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}l}T_{u-l}[A(f_1)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dl\\
&+\int_0^\infty\int_{M(E)^\circ}e^{2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}s}\nu(f_1)^2H(d\nu)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of (3) is now complete. □

3 Main results

3.1 Law of large numbers of $\{Y_t, t \geqslant 0\}$

Define

$$W_t^{k,j} := e^{\lambda_k t}\langle \phi_j^{(k)}, Y_t\rangle,$$
$$H_t^{k,j} := e^{\lambda_k t}\langle \phi_j^{(k)}, Y_t\rangle - \lambda_k^{-1}(e^{\lambda_k t}-1)\Gamma(\phi_j^{(k)}), \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

Lemma 3.1 *$\{H_t^{k,j} : t \geqslant 0\}$ is a martingale under $\mathbb{P}_\mu$. Moreover, if $\lambda_1 > 2\lambda_k$, then $\sup_{t>3t_0} \mathbb{P}_\mu (H_t^{k,j})^2 < \infty$. Thus the limit*

$$H_\infty^{k,j} := \lim_{t\to\infty} H_t^{k,j}$$

exists $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-a.s. and in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\mu)$.

Proof. By the moment formula and the fact that $T_t\phi_j^{(k)}(x) = e^{-\lambda_k t}\phi_j^{(k)}(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_\mu[H_{t+s}^{k,j}|\mathscr{G}_t] &= e^{\lambda_k t}\langle \phi_j^{(k)}, Y_t\rangle + e^{\lambda_k(t+s)}\int_t^{t+s} \Gamma(T_{t+s-u}\phi_j^{(k)})du - \lambda_k^{-1}(e^{\lambda_k(t+s)}-1)\Gamma(\phi_j^{(k)}) \\ &= e^{\lambda_k t}\langle \phi_j^{(k)}, Y_t\rangle - \lambda_k^{-1}(e^{\lambda_k t}-1)\Gamma(\phi_j^{(k)}) \\ &= H_t^{k,j}.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.19) and (2.20), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{t>3t_0} \mathbb{P}_\mu[H_t^{k,j}]^2 \lesssim \sup_{t>3t_0} e^{2\lambda_k t}\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}_\mu\langle \phi_j^{(k)}, Y_t\rangle + \mu(\phi_j^{(k)})^2 \\ \lesssim &\langle a_{t_0}^{1/2}, \mu\rangle + \Gamma(a_{t_0}^{1/2}) + \int_{M(E)^\circ} \nu\left(a_{t_0}^{1/2}\right)^2 H(d\nu) + m(3t_0) + n(t_0) + \mu(\phi_j^{(k)})^2\end{aligned}$$

from which the convergence asserted in the lemma follows easily. □

Remark 3.1 We know from Remark 2.1 that $\Gamma(\phi_j^{(k)}) < \infty$. If $\lambda_1 > 2\lambda_k$, then

$$W_\infty^{k,j} := \lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_k t}\langle \phi_j^{(k)}, Y_t\rangle = H_\infty^{k,j} - \lambda_k^{-1}(e^{\lambda_k t}-1)\Gamma(\phi_j^{(k)}) = H_\infty^{k,j} + \lambda_k^{-1}\Gamma(\phi_j^{(k)})$$

exists $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-a.s. and in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\mu)$ for any $k \geqslant 1$, $j = 1, \ldots, n_k$.

Theorem 3.1 *If $f \in L^2(E,m) \cap L^4(E,m)$ with $\lambda_1 > 2\lambda_{\gamma(f)}$ and $\Gamma(\phi_j^{(\gamma(f))}) < \infty$, then as $t \to \infty$,*

$$e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)}}\langle f, Y_t\rangle \to \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\gamma(f)}} a_j^{\gamma(f)} W_\infty^{\gamma(f),j}, \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{P}_\mu).$$

Proof. By using the moment estimates of Y, the proof is similar to that of [20, Theorem 1.6], we omit the details here. □

Remark 3.2 When $\gamma(f) = 1$, let $\widetilde{W}_\infty$ be short for $W_\infty^{1,1}$. Therefore as $t \to \infty$,

$$e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle f, Y_t\rangle \to \langle f, \phi_1\rangle_m \widetilde{W}_\infty, \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{P}_\mu).$$

In particular, the convergence also holds in $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-probability.

3.2 Central limit theorems for $\{Y_t, t \geqslant 0\}$

For $f \in \mathcal{C}_s$ and $h \in \mathcal{C}_c$, we define

$$\sigma_f^2 := \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_1 s} \langle A(T_s f)^2, \phi_1 \rangle_m ds$$

and

$$\rho_h^2 := \langle Ah^2, \phi_1 \rangle_m.$$

For $g(x) = \sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j^k \phi_j^{(k)}(x) \in \mathcal{C}_l$, we define

$$I_s g(x) = \sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} e^{\lambda_k s} a_j^k \phi_j^{(k)}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_g^2 := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_1 s} \left\langle A(I_s g)^2, \phi_1 \right\rangle_m ds.$$

Theorem 3.2 *If $f \in \mathcal{C}_s$, $h \in \mathcal{C}_c$ and $g(x) = \sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j^k \phi_j^{(k)}(x) \in \mathcal{C}_l$, then $\sigma_f^2 < \infty$, $\rho_h^2 < \infty$ and $\beta_g^2 < \infty$. Furthermore, it holds that, as $t \to \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(e^{\lambda_1 t} \langle \phi_1, Y_t \rangle, \frac{\langle g, Y_t \rangle - \sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} e^{-\lambda_k t} a_j^k W_\infty^{k,j}}{\sqrt{\langle \phi_1, Y_t \rangle}}, \frac{\langle h, Y_t \rangle}{\sqrt{t \langle \phi_1, Y_t \rangle}}, \frac{\langle f, Y_t \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \phi_1, Y_t \rangle}} \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} (\widetilde{W}_\infty, G_3(g), G_2(h), G_1(f)),\end{aligned}$$

where $G_3(g) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta_g^2)$, $G_2(h) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \rho_h^2)$ and $G_1(f) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2)$. Moreover, $\widetilde{W}_\infty$, $G_3(g)$, $G_2(h)$ and $G_1(f)$ are independent.

Remark 3.3 In [21], Corollaries 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7 are excellent complementary of Theorem 1.4. They all hold in our situation only with X_t replaced by Y_t. We will not restate them here.

3.3 Proof of the central limit theorem of Y

The general methodology is similar to that of [20] and [21]. We recall some facts about weak convergence which will be used later. For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, let $\|f\|_L := \sup_{x \neq y} |f(x) - f(y)|/\|x - y\|$ and $\|f\|_{BL} := \|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_L$. For any distributions ν_1 and ν_2 on $\mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$d(\nu_1, \nu_2) := \sup \left\{ \left| \int f d\nu_1 - \int f d\nu_2 \right| : \|f\|_{BL} \leqslant 1 \right\}.$$

Then d is a metric. It follows from [9, Theorem 11.3.3] that the topology generated by d is equivalent to the weak convergence topology. From the definition, we can easily see that, if ν_1 and ν_2 are the distributions of two $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued random variables X and Y respectively, then

$$d(\nu_1, \nu_2) \leqslant E\|X - Y\| \leqslant \sqrt{E\|X - Y\|^2}.$$

Before the proof of Theorem 3.2, we prove several lemmas first. The first lemma below was proved in [21], we state it here for reader's convenience. Recall the excursion measure $\mathbb{N}_x$ defined by (2.2) on the probability space $(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{A})$, define

$$\widetilde{H}_t^{k,j}(w) := e^{\lambda_k t} \langle \phi_j^{(k)}, w_t \rangle, \quad t \geqslant 0, \ w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Lemma 3.2 *([21, Lemma 3.1]) If $\lambda_1 > 2\lambda_k$, then the limit*

$$\widetilde{H}_\infty^{k,j} := \lim_{t \to \infty} \widetilde{H}_t^{k,j}$$

exists $\mathbb{N}_x$-a.e., in $L^1(\mathbb{N}_x)$ and in $L^2(\mathbb{N}_x)$.

Lemma 3.3 *If $f \in \mathcal{C}_s$, then $\sigma_f^2 < \infty$ and for any nonzero $\mu \in M(E)$, it holds under $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ that*

$$\left(e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle \phi_1, Y_t\rangle, e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f, Y_t\rangle\right) \to \left(\widetilde{W}_\infty, G_1(f)\sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty}\right), \quad t \to \infty,$$

where $G_1(f) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2)$. Moreover, $\widetilde{W}_\infty$ and $G_1(f)$ are independent.

Proof. We need to consider the limit of the $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued random variable defined by

$$U_1(t) := \left(e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle \phi_1, Y_t\rangle, e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f, Y_t\rangle\right),$$

or equivalently, we need to consider the limit of $U_1(s+t)$ as $t \to \infty$ for any $s > 0$. For $s, t > t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(s+t) = &\left(e^{\lambda_1(t+s)}\langle \phi_1, Y_{t+s}\rangle, e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, Y_{t+s}\rangle - e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle T_s f, Y_t\rangle\right) \\ &+ \left(0, e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle T_s f, Y_t\rangle\right). \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that the second term on the right hand has no contribution to the double limit, first as $t \to \infty$ and then $s \to \infty$. The double limit of the first term is equal to another $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued random variable $U_2(s,t)$ where

$$U_2(s,t) := \left(e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle \phi_1, Y_t\rangle, e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, Y_{t+s}\rangle - e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle T_s f, Y_t\rangle\right).$$

We claim that

$$U_2(s,t) \xrightarrow{d} (\widetilde{W}_\infty, \sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty} G_1(s)), \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,$$

where $G_1(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2(s))$ with $\sigma_f^2(s)$ to be given later. Denote the characteristic function of $U_2(s,t)$ under $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ by $\kappa(\theta_1, \theta_2, s, t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(\theta_1, \theta_2, s, t) = &\mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\exp\left\{i\theta_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle \phi_1, Y_t\rangle + i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, Y_{t+s}\rangle - i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle T_s f, Y_t\rangle\right\}\right) \\ = &\mathbb{P}_\mu\Big(\exp\left\{i\theta_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle \phi_1, Y_t\rangle\right. \\ &+ \int_E \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\exp\{i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, w_s\rangle\} - 1 - i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, w_s\rangle\right) \mathbb{N}_x(dw) Y_t(dx) \\ &+ \int_0^s \int_{M(E)^\circ} \mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\exp\{i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, X_{s-u}\rangle\} - 1\right) H(d\mu)du \\ &\left.+ \int_0^s \eta(V_u(i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2} f))du\right\}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\exp\left\{\int_E \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\exp\{i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, w_s\rangle\} - 1 - i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, w_s\rangle\right) \mathbb{N}_x(dw) Y_t(dx)\right\}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_2^2 e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle V_s, Y_t\rangle + \langle R_s(e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\theta_2, \cdot), Y_t\rangle\right\}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $V_s(x) = e^{\lambda_1 s}\mathbb{V}ar_{\delta_x}\langle f, X_s\rangle$ and

$$R_s(\theta, x) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\exp\langle i\theta f, w_s\rangle - 1 - i\theta\langle f, w_s\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\langle f, w_s\rangle^2\right) \mathbb{N}_x(dw).$$

By Remark 3.2 and the fact that $V_s(x) \lesssim a_{t_0}(x)^{1/2} \in L^2(E,m) \cap L^4(E,m)$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle V_s, Y_t\rangle = \langle V_s, \phi_1\rangle_m \widetilde{W}_\infty, \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Let $Z_s := e^{\lambda_1 s/2}\langle f, w_s\rangle$ and

$$h(x,s,t) := \mathbb{N}_x\left(Z_s^2\left(\frac{\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}Z_s}{6}\wedge 1\right)\right).$$

We know from (2.16) in [21] that $h(x,s,t)\downarrow 0$ as $t\uparrow\infty$ and for $t>3t_0$,

$$h(x,s,t)\leqslant \mathbb{N}_x(Z_s^2) = e^{\lambda_1 s}\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}_{\delta_x}(\langle f, X_s\rangle)\lesssim a_{t_0}(x)^{1/2}\in L^2(E,m).$$

By (3.8) in [21],

$$|R_s(e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\theta_2, x)|\leqslant \theta_2^2 e^{\lambda_1 t}h(x,s,t)\leqslant \theta_2^2 e^{\lambda_1 t}a_{t_0}(x)^{1/2}\in L^2(E,m).$$

We claim that, as $t\to\infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu|\langle R_s(e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\theta_2,\cdot), Y_t\rangle|\leqslant \theta_2^2 e^{\lambda_1 t}\left[T_t(h(\cdot,s,t)) + \int_0^t \Gamma(T_v h)dv\right]\to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

For the first term on the right hand side of (3.2). By the results in [21], for any $u<t$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}[T_t(h(\cdot,s,t))]\leqslant \limsup_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}[T_t(h(\cdot,s,u))] = \langle h(\cdot,s,u),\phi_1\rangle_m\phi_1(x).$$

Letting $u\to\infty$, we get $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}[T_t(h(\cdot,s,t))]=0$. For the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t \Gamma(T_v(h(\cdot,s,t)))dv &\leqslant \limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_0^t e^{\lambda_1(t-v)}\Gamma(e^{\lambda_1 v}T_v(h(\cdot,s,u)))dv\\ &\leqslant \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_1 v}\langle h(\cdot,s,u),\phi_1\rangle_m dv\Gamma(\phi_1)\\ &\lesssim \Gamma(\phi_1)\langle (a_{t_0})^{1/2},\phi_1\rangle_m.\end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\int_0^t \Gamma(T_v(h(\cdot,s,t)))dv \leqslant \lim_{u\to\infty}\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_0^t e^{\lambda_1(t-v)}\Gamma(e^{\lambda_1 v}T_v(h(\cdot,s,u)))dv = 0.$$

For the last two terms on the right hand of (3.1), we know from (1.5) that

$$V_t|f|(x)\leqslant T_t|f|(x) \text{ for all } t\geqslant 0 \text{ and } x\in E.$$

Thus by (2.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\exp\left\{\int_0^s \eta(V_u(i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}f))du + \int_{M(E)^\circ}\int_0^s \mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\exp\{i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, X_u\rangle\}-1\right)duH(d\mu)\right\}\right|\\ &\lesssim \exp\left\{\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\int_0^s \eta(T_u|f|))du + \theta_2 e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\int_{M(E)^\circ}\int_0^s \mathbb{P}_\mu[\langle |f|, X_u\rangle]duH(d\mu)\right\}\\ &\lesssim \exp\left\{\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\left(e^{\lambda_1 s/2}\|f\|_2 m(t_0) + [e^{(\lambda_1-2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})s/2} + e^{\lambda_1 s/2}]\Gamma(a_{t_0}^{1/2})\right)\right\}\\ &\to 1, \text{ as } t\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Hence by the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\kappa(\theta_1,\theta_2,s,t) = \mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\exp\{i\theta_1\widetilde{W}_\infty\}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_2^2\langle V_s,\phi_1\rangle_m\widetilde{W}_\infty\right\}\right).$$

Since $e^{\lambda_1(t+s)}\langle\phi_1, Y_{t+s}\rangle - e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1, Y_t\rangle \to 0$ in probability, as $t\to\infty$, we easily get that under $\mathbb{P}_\mu$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_3(s,t) &:= \left(e^{\lambda_1(t+s)}\langle\phi_1, Y_{t+s}\rangle, e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle f, Y_{t+s}\rangle - e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle T_s f, Y_t\rangle\right) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} (\widetilde{W}_\infty, \sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty} G_1(s)), \end{aligned}$$

as $t\to\infty$. By (2.15) in [21],

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} V_s(x) = \lim_{s\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1 s}\mathbb{V}ar_{\delta_x}\langle f, X_s\rangle = \sigma_f^2\phi_1(x).$$

Thus $\lim_{s\to\infty}\sigma_f^2(s) = \sigma_f^2$. So $\lim_{s\to\infty} d(G_1(s), G_1(f)) = \sigma_f^2$.

Let $\mathcal{D}(s+t)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(s,t)$ be the distribution of $U_1(s+t)$ and $U_3(s,t)$ respectively, and let $\mathcal{D}(s)$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be the distributions of $(\widetilde{W}_\infty, \sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty}G_1(s))$ and $(\widetilde{W}_\infty, \sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty}G_1(f))$ respectively. Then, by a similar argument as in [20] and using the definition of $\limsup_{t\to\infty}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t\to\infty} d(\mathcal{D}(t), \mathcal{D}) &\leqslant \limsup_{t\to\infty} d(\mathcal{D}(s+t), \mathcal{D}) \\ &\leqslant \limsup_{t\to\infty} d(\mathcal{D}(s+t), \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(s,t)) + d(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(s,t), \mathcal{D}(s)) + d(\mathcal{D}(s), \mathcal{D}) \\ &\leqslant \limsup_{t\to\infty} (\mathbb{P}_\mu(e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle T_s f, Y_t\rangle)^2)^{1/2} + 0 + d(\mathcal{D}(s), \mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $s\to\infty$, we get

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} d(\mathcal{D}(t), \mathcal{D}) \leqslant \limsup_{s\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty} (\mathbb{P}_\mu(e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\langle T_s f, Y_t\rangle)^2)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, we are left to prove that

$$\limsup_{s\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1(t+s)}\mathbb{P}_\mu(\langle T_s f, Y_t\rangle)^2 = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

By (2.8), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\mu(\langle T_s f, Y_t\rangle)^2 &= \left(\mu(T_{s+t}f) + \int_0^t \Gamma(T_{u+s}f)du\right)^2 + \int_E\int_0^t T_{t-u}[A(T_{u+s}f)^2](x)du\mu(dx) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_E\int_0^v T_{v-u}[A(T_{u+s}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dv \\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_{M(E)^\circ} \nu(T_{u+s}f)^2 H(d\nu)du \\ &:= B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.10), we get

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1(t+s)}B_1 = \lim_{t\to\infty}\left(e^{\lambda_1(t+s)/2}\left(\mu(T_{s+t}f) + \int_0^t \Gamma(T_{u+s}f)du\right)\right)^2 = 0$$

and we know from the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [21] that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\lambda_1(t+s)}B_2 = 0.$$

For B_3, by a similar proof as of Lemma 3.2 in [21], we get

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\lambda_1(t+s)}\int_0^t\int_E\int_0^v T_{v-u}[A(T_{u+s}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dv \\ &= \int_0^t e^{\lambda_1(t-v)}e^{\lambda_1(v+s)}\int_E\int_0^v T_{v-u}[A(T_{u+s}f)^2](x)du\Gamma(dx)dv \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t e^{\lambda_1(t-v)}e^{(\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})s}dv\Gamma(a_{t_0}^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

As for the last term B_4, we have by (2.6) that, for $s > t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\lambda_1(t+s)}\int_0^t\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(T_{u+s}f)^2H(d\nu)du\\
&\leqslant e^{(\lambda_1-2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})s}\int_0^t e^{(\lambda_1-2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})u}e^{\lambda_1(t-u)}\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(e^{\lambda_{\gamma(f)}(s+u)}T_{s+u}f)^2duH(d\nu)\\
&\lesssim e^{(\lambda_1-2\lambda_{\gamma(f)})s}\int_{M(E)^\circ}\nu(a_{t_0}^{1/2})^2H(d\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we will get the desired result by first letting $t\to\infty$ and then letting $s\to\infty$. □

Lemma 3.4 *If $f\in\mathcal{C}_s$ and $h\in\mathcal{C}_c$. Then*

$$\left(e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle,t^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h,Y_t\rangle,e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f,Y_t\rangle\right)\xrightarrow{d}\left(\widetilde{W}_\infty,G_2(h)\sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty},G_1(f)\sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty}\right),$$

where $G_1(f)\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_f^2)$ and $G_2(h)\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\rho_h^2)$. Moreover, $\widetilde{W}_\infty$, $G_1(f)$ and $G_2(h)$ are independent.

Proof. We will use the idea suggested in [21] with some modifications to prove the result. In the proof, we always assume $t>3t_0$. We define an $\mathbb{R}^3$-valued random variable by

$$U_1(t):=\left(e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle,t^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h,Y_t\rangle,e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f,Y_t\rangle\right).$$

Let $n>2$ and write

$$U_1(nt)=\left(e^{\lambda_1 nt}\langle\phi_1,Y_{nt}\rangle,(nt)^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}\langle h,Y_{nt}\rangle,e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}\langle f,Y_{nt}\rangle\right).$$

To consider the limit of $U_1(t)$ as $t\to\infty$, it is equivalent to consider the limit of $U_1(nt)$ for any $n>2$. The main idea is as follows. For $t>t_0$, $n>2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1(nt)=&\left(e^{\lambda_1 nt}\langle\phi_1,Y_{nt}\rangle,\frac{e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}(\langle h,Y_{nt}\rangle-\langle T_{(n-1)t}h,Y_t\rangle)}{(nt)^{1/2}},\right.\\
&\left.e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}(\langle f,Y_{nt}\rangle-\langle T_{(n-1)t}f,Y_t\rangle)\right)\\
&+\left(0,(nt)^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}\langle T_{(n-1)t}h,Y_t\rangle,e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}\langle T_{(n-1)t}f,Y_t\rangle\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

We will prove that the second term on the right hand has no contribution to the double limit, first as $t\to\infty$ and then $n\to\infty$. The double limit of the first term is equal to another $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued random variable $U_2(n,t)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
U_2(n,t):=&\left(e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle,\frac{e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}(\langle h,Y_{nt}\rangle-\langle T_{(n-1)t}h,Y_t\rangle)}{((n-1)t)^{1/2}},\right.\\
&\left.e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}(\langle f,Y_{nt}\rangle-\langle T_{(n-1)t}f,Y_t\rangle)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$U_2(n,t)\xrightarrow{d}(\widetilde{W}_\infty,\sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty}G_2(h),\sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty}G_1(f)),\quad\text{as } t\to\infty.$$

Denote the characteristic function of $U_2(n,t)$ under $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ by $\kappa_1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,n,t)$. Define

$$Z_1(t,\theta_2):=\theta_2 t^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h,X_t\rangle,\quad Z_2(t,\theta_3):=\theta_3 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f,X_t\rangle,\ t>0,$$

and

$$Z_t(\theta_2,\theta_3) := Z_1(t,\theta_2) + Z_2(t,\theta_3).$$

We define the corresponding random variables on $\mathbb{D}$ by $\widetilde{Z}_1(t,\theta_2)$, $\widetilde{Z}_2(t,\theta_3)$ and $\widetilde{Z}_t(\theta_2,\theta_3)$. Using an argument similar to that leading to (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,n,t) = \mathbb{P}_\mu \Bigg(\exp\Bigg\{ & i\theta_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle \phi_1, Y_t\rangle + \int_E \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Big(\exp\{ie^{\lambda_1 t/2}\widetilde{Z}_{(n-1)t}(\theta_2,\theta_3)(w)\} \\ & -1 - ie^{\lambda_1 t/2}\widetilde{Z}_{(n-1)t}(\theta_2,\theta_3)(w)\Big)\mathbb{N}_x(dw)Y_t(dx) \\ & + \int_t^{nt}\int_{M(E)^\circ} \mathbb{P}_\mu\Big(\exp\{ie^{\lambda_1 u/2}Z'_{nt-u}(\theta_2,\theta_3)\}-1\Big)H(d\mu)du \\ & + \int_0^{(n-1)t} \eta(V_u(i\theta_2[(n-1)t]^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}h + i\theta_3 e^{\lambda_1 nt/2}f))du\Bigg\}\Bigg), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $Z'_{nt-u}(\theta_2,\theta_3)(w) = (\frac{nt-u}{(n-1)t})^{1/2}Z_1(nt-u,\theta_2)(w) + Z_2(nt-u,\theta_3)(w)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}R'_t(\theta,x) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Big(&\exp\{i\theta\widetilde{Z}_t(\theta_2,\theta_3)(w)\} - 1 - i\theta\widetilde{Z}_t(\theta_2,\theta_3)(w) \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\theta^2(\widetilde{Z}_t(\theta_2,\theta_3)(w))^2\Big)\mathbb{N}_x(dw)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}J(n,t,x) := \int_E\int_{\mathbb{D}} \Big(&\exp\{ie^{\lambda_1 t/2}\widetilde{Z}_{(n-1)t}(\theta_2,\theta_3)(w)\} \\ &-1 - ie^{\lambda_1 t/2}\widetilde{Z}_{(n-1)t}(\theta_2,\theta_3)(w)\Big)\mathbb{N}_x(dw)Y_t(dx).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$J(n,t,x) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{\lambda_1 t}\mathbb{N}_x(\widetilde{Z}_{(n-1)t}(\theta_2,\theta_3))^2 + R'_{(n-1)t}(e^{\lambda_1 t/2},x)$$

and

$$\kappa_1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,n,t) = \mathbb{P}_\mu(\exp\{i\theta_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle + \langle J(n,t,\cdot),Y_t\rangle\}).$$

Let $V_t^n(x) := \mathbb{N}_x(\widetilde{Z}_{(n-1)t}(\theta_2,\theta_3))^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle J(n,t,\cdot),Y_t\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2}e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle V_t^n, Y_t\rangle + \langle R'_{(n-1)t}(e^{\lambda_1 t},\cdot),Y_t\rangle \\ &:= J_1(n,t) + J_2(n,t).\end{aligned}$$

We first consider $J_1(n,t)$. It follows from the estimate (3.26) in [21] that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} J_1(n,t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} -\frac{1}{2}e^{\lambda_1 t}(\theta_2^2\rho_h^2 + \theta_3^2\sigma_f^2)\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}(\theta_2^2\rho_h^2 + \theta_3^2\sigma_f^2)\widetilde{W}_\infty.$$

For $J_2(n,t)$, by an similar argument as in (3.2), we have

$$J_2(n,t) \to 0 \ \text{ in probability, as } \ t\to\infty.$$

We can use the same method as in the proof of (3.3) that the last two terms on the right hand side of (3.6) tend to 1 as t goes to infinity. Hence, combining the above calculations, we get that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\kappa_1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,n,t) = \mathbb{P}_\mu\left[\exp\{i\theta_1\widetilde{W}_\infty\}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\theta_2^2\rho_h^2+\theta_3^2\sigma_f^2)\widetilde{W}_\infty\right\}\right].$$

Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, in order to get the desired result, we now need only to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}(nt)^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 nt}\mathbb{P}_\mu(\langle T_{(n-1)t}h, Y_t\rangle)^2 = 0,$$
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}e^{\lambda_1 nt}\mathbb{P}_\mu(\langle T_{(n-1)t}f, Y_t\rangle)^2 = 0.$$

In fact, using (2.12) and the fact that $\langle T_t h, \mu\rangle = e^{-\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h, \mu\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(nt)^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 nt}\mathbb{P}_\mu(\langle T_{(n-1)t}h, Y_t\rangle)^2 &= (nt)^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 t}\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}_\mu\langle h, Y_t\rangle + (nt)^{-1}e^{\lambda_1 t}(\mathbb{P}_\mu\langle h, Y_t\rangle)^2\\ &\lesssim n^{-1}(1+t^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Using the same method as the proof of (3.4) with $s = (n-1)t$, and then letting $t\to\infty$, we get

$$e^{\lambda_1 nt}\mathbb{P}_\mu(\langle T_{(n-1)t}f, Y_t\rangle)^2 \to 0.$$

The proof is now complete. □

Recall that

$$g(x) = \sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j^k\phi_j^{(k)}(x) \quad\text{and}\quad I_u g(x) = \sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} e^{\lambda_k u}a_j^k\phi_j^{(k)}(x).$$

Note that the sum over k is a sum over a finite number of elements. Define

$$H_\infty(w) := \sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j^k\widetilde{H}_\infty^{k,j}(w),\ w\in\mathbb{D}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have, as $u\to\infty$

$$\langle I_u g, w_u\rangle \to H_\infty,\ \ \mathbb{N}_x\text{-a.e., in } L^1(\mathbb{N}_x) \text{ and in } L^2(\mathbb{N}_x).$$

Since $\mathbb{N}_x\langle I_u g, w_u\rangle = \mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}\langle I_u g, X_u\rangle = g(x)$, we get

$$\mathbb{N}_x(H_\infty) = g(x)$$

and by (3.37) and (3.38) in [21],

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{N}_x(H_\infty)^2 &= \int_0^\infty T_s\left[A\left(\sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} e^{\lambda_k s}a_j^k\phi_j^{(k)}\right)^2\right](x)ds\\ &\lesssim (a_{t_0}(x))^{1/2}\in L^2(E,m)\cap L^4(E,m).\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Consider an $\mathbb{R}^4$-valued random variable $U_4(t)$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}U_4(t) := \Bigg(&e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1, Y_t\rangle, e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\left(\langle g, Y_t\rangle - \sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} e^{-\lambda_k t}a_j^k W_\infty^{k,j}\right),\\ &t^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h, Y_t\rangle, e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f, Y_t\rangle\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

To get the conclusion of Theorem 3.2, it suffice to show that, under $\mathbb{P}_\mu$,

$$U_4(t) \xrightarrow{d} \left(\widetilde{W}_\infty, \sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty}G_3(g), \sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty}G_2(h), \sqrt{\widetilde{W}_\infty}G_1(f)\right),$$

where $\widetilde{W}_\infty$, $G_3(g)$, $G_2(h)$ and $G_1(f)$ are independent. Note that, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\langle I_u g, Y_{t+u}\rangle = \sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j^k W_\infty^{k,j}(w),\ \mathbb{P}_\mu\text{-a.s.}$$

Denote the characteristic function of $U_4(t)$ under $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ by $\kappa_2(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4,t)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4,t) &= \lim_{u\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_\mu\Big(\exp\Big\{i\theta_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle + i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}(\langle g,Y_t\rangle - \langle I_u g, Y_{t+u}\rangle)\\ &\quad + i\theta_3 t^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h,Y_t\rangle + i\theta_4 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f,Y_t\rangle\Big\}\Big)\\ &= \lim_{u\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_\mu\Big(\exp\Big\{i\theta_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle + i\theta_3 t^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h,Y_t\rangle\\ &\quad + i\theta_4 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f,Y_t\rangle + \langle J_u(t,\cdot),Y_t\rangle\\ &\quad + \int_0^u\int_{M(E)^\circ} Q_\mu\Big(\exp\{-i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle I_u g, X_{u-s}\rangle\}-1\Big)\,ds H(d\mu)\\ &\quad + \int_0^u \eta(V_s(-i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}I_u g))ds\Big\}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$J_u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{D}}\Big(\exp\{-i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle I_u g, w_u\rangle\} - 1 + i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle I_u g, w_u\rangle\Big)\,\mathbb{N}_x(dw).$$

By (3.42) in [21], we have

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} J_u(t,x) = \mathbb{N}_x\Big(\exp\{-i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}H_\infty\} - 1 + i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}H_\infty\Big) := J(t,x)$$

and

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\langle J_u(t),Y_t\rangle = \langle J(t,\cdot),Y_t\rangle,\ \mathbb{P}_\mu\text{-a.s.}$$

For the last two terms on the right hand side of (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\exp\left\{\int_0^u \eta(V_s(-i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}I_u g))ds + \int_{M(E)^\circ}\int_0^u \mathbb{P}_\mu\Big(\exp\{-i\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle I_u g, X_s\rangle\}-1\Big)\,ds H(d\mu)\right\}\right|\\ &\lesssim \exp\left\{\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\int_0^u \eta(T_s|I_u g|))ds + \theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\int_{M(E)^\circ}\int_0^u \mathbb{P}_\mu[\langle|I_u g|,X_s\rangle]ds H(d\mu)\right\}\\ &= \exp\left\{\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\int_0^u \Gamma(T_s|I_u g|)ds\right\}\\ &\leqslant \exp\left\{\theta_2 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\sum_{k:2\lambda_k<\lambda_1}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k}|a_j^k|e^{\lambda_k u}\int_0^u \Gamma(T_s|\phi_j^{(k)}|)ds\right\}\\ &\to 1\end{aligned}$$

by first letting $u\to\infty$, then letting $t\to\infty$ and using (2.15). Consequently, by the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4,t) = \mathbb{P}_\mu\Big(&\exp\Big\{i\theta_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle + i\theta_3 t^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h,Y_t\rangle\\ &+ i\theta_4 e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f,Y_t\rangle + \langle J(t,\cdot),Y_t\rangle\Big\}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$R_s(\theta,x)=\mathbb{N}_x\left(\exp\{i\theta H_\infty\}-1-i\theta H_\infty+\frac{1}{2}\theta^2H_\infty^2\right).$$

Then,

$$\langle J(t),Y_t\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\theta_2^2e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle V,Y_t\rangle+\langle R(-e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\theta_2,\cdot),Y_t\rangle,$$

where $V(x):=\mathbb{N}_x(H_\infty)^2$. By the results in [21] and similar arguments as (3.2), we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\langle R(-e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\theta_2,\cdot),Y_t\rangle=0\ \text{ in probability.}\tag{3.9}$$

Since $V\in L^2(E,m)\cap L^4(E,m)$, we have by Remark 3.2 that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle V,Y_t\rangle=\langle V,\phi_1\rangle_m\widetilde{W}_\infty\ \text{ in probability.}\tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, combing (3.9) and (3.10), we get

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\exp\{\langle J(t,\cdot),Y_t\rangle\}=\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_2^2\langle V,\phi_1\rangle_m\widetilde{W}_\infty\right\}\ \text{ in probability.}\tag{3.11}$$

Recall that $\lim_{t\to\infty}e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle=\widetilde{W}_\infty$, $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-a.s. Thus by (3.11) and the fact that $|\exp\{\langle J(t,\cdot),Y_t\rangle\}|\leqslant 1$, we get that as $t\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\exp\left\{\left(i\theta_1-\frac{1}{2}\theta_2^2\langle V,\phi_1\rangle_m\right)e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle+i\theta_3t^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h,Y_t\rangle\right.\right.\\&\quad\left.\left.+i\theta_4e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f,Y_t\rangle\right\}\right)-\kappa_2(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4,t)\Big|\\&\leqslant\left|\mathbb{P}_\mu\exp\{\langle J(t,\cdot),Y_t\rangle\}-\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_2^2\langle V,\phi_1\rangle_me^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle\right\}\right|\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\exp\left\{\left(i\theta_1-\frac{1}{2}\theta_2^2\langle V,\phi_1\rangle_m\right)e^{\lambda_1 t}\langle\phi_1,Y_t\rangle+i\theta_3t^{-1/2}e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle h,Y_t\rangle\right.\right.\\&\quad\left.\left.+i\theta_4e^{\lambda_1 t/2}\langle f,Y_t\rangle\right\}\right)\\&=\mathbb{P}_\mu\left[\exp\{i\theta_1\widetilde{W}_\infty\}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\theta_2^2\langle V,\phi_1\rangle_m+\theta_3^2\rho_h^2+\theta_4^2\sigma_f^2)\widetilde{W}_\infty\right\}\right].\end{aligned}$$

By (3.7), we get

$$\langle V,\phi_1\rangle_m=\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_1 s}\langle A(I_sg)^2,\phi_1\rangle_m ds.$$

The proof is now complete. □

Acknowledgements The parts of this paper were written while the author visited Concordia. The author would like to give sincere thanks to Professor Xiaowen Zhou for his encouragement and helpful discussions and hospitality at Concordia. The author also thank an anonymous referee for useful comments.

References

1. Asmussen, S. and Hering, H.: Strong limit theorems for supercritical immigration-branching processes. *Math. Scand.* 39, 327-342 (1976).
2. Adamczak, R. and Milós, P.: CLT for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck branching particle system. *Electron. J. Probab.* 20, no. 42, 1-35 (2015).
3. Chen, Z.Q., Ren, Y.X. and Wang, H.: An almost sure limit theorem for Dawson-Watanabe superprocesses. *J. Funct. Anal.* 254, 1988-2019 (2008).
4. Chen, Z.Q. and Shiozawa, Y.: Limit theorems for Branching Markov processes. *J. Funct. Anal.* 250, 374-399 (2007).
5. Dynkin, E. B.: Superprocesses and partial differential equations. *Ann. Probab.* 21, 1185-1262 (1993).
6. Dynkin, E. B. and Kuznetsov, S. E.: N-measure for branching exit Markov system and their applications to differential equations. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* 130, 135-150 (2004).
7. El Karoui, N. and Roelly, S.: Propriétés de martingales, explosion et représentation de Lévy- Khintchine d'une classe de processus de branchment à valeurs mesures. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 38, 239-266 (1991).
8. Davies, E. B. and Simon, B.: Ultracontractivity and the kernel for Schrödinger operators and Dirichlet Laplacians. *J. Funct. Anal.* 59, 335-395 (1984).
9. Englander, J.: Law of large numbers for superdiffusions: the non-ergodic case. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Statist.* 45, 1-6 (2009).
10. Englander, J., Harris, S. C. and Kyprianou, A. E.: Strong law of large numbers for branching diffsions. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Statist.* 46, 279-298 (2010).
11. Englander, J. and Winter, A.: Law of large numbers for a class of superdiffusions. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Statist.* 42, 171-185 (2006).
12. Li, Z.: Measure-valued branching processes with immigration. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 43, 249-264 (1992).
13. Li, Z. and Shiga T.: Measure-valued branching diffusions: immigrations, excursions and limit theorems. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 35, 233-274 (1995).
14. Li, Z.: Immigration structures associated with Dawson-Watanabe superprocesses. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 62, 73-86 (1996).
15. Li, Z.: Skew convolution semigroups and related immigration processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 46(2003), 274-296.
16. Li, Z.: *Measure-valued Branching Markov Processes*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
17. Liu, R.-L. Ren, Y.-X. and Song, R.: Strong law of large number of a class of super-diffusions. *Acta Applicanda Mathematicae*, 123(1), 73-97 (2013).
18. Milós, P.: Spatial CLT for the supercritical Ornstein-Uhlenbeck superprocess. Preprint, arXiv:1203:6661, 2012.
19. Ren, Y.-X., Song, R. and Zhang, R.: Central limit theorems for super Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, *Acta Appl. Math.* 130, 9-49 (2014).
20. Ren, Y.-X., Song, R. and Zhang, R.: Central limit theorems for supercritical branching Markov processes. *J. Funct. Anal.* 266, 1716-1756 (2014).
21. Ren, Y.-X., Song, R. and Zhang, R.: Central limit theorems for supercritical superprocesses. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 125, 428-457 (2015).
22. Wang, L.: An almost sure limit theorem for super-Brownian motion. *J. Theoret. Probab.* 23, 401-416 (2010).